

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,450	09/20/2003	Yurdaer Nezihi Doganata	CHA920030010US1	4523	
James R. Muri	7590 02/09/200	EXAM	EXAMINER		
207 Dogwood	Court	DWIVEDI, MAHESH H			
Poughkeepsie,	NY 12601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2168	2168	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/664,450	DOGANATA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MAHESH H. DWIVEDI	2168	
	10/664,450 Examiner	10/664,450 DOGANATA ET AL Examiner Art Unit

	MAHESH H. DWIVEDI	2168					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26(a) and the annualist	o outomalan too				
Extensions of time may be obtained united 37 CFR.1.136(a). The date in have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR.1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS	and the time period det left in a	o					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rejection	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (of will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-9 and 12-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
is. Goulei							
/Tim T. Vo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2168	/Mahesh H Dwivedi/ Examiner, Art Unit 2168						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's request for reconsideration filed on 07/10/2009 is acknowledged, but is not persausive. Specificatly, the 123 efficial filed rise firm laid id not meet timeliness requirement under MPEP 716.01 [R-3] which states "Evidence traversing rejections must be timely or seasonably filed to be entered and entitled to consideration. In re Rothermel, 276 F-24 393, 125 USPQ 326 (CCPA 1980). Affidavits and declarations submitted under 37 CFR 1.132 and other evidence traversing rejections are considered timely if submitted: (1) prior to a final rejection, (2) before appeal in an application not having a final rejection, "3 after final rejection ">, but before or on the same date of filing appeal, upon a showing good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1146(e); or (4) after the prosecution is closed (e.g., after a final rejection, after appeal, or after allowance) if applicant files the affidavit or other evidence with a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 in a utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995; or a continued prosecution application (CPQ) under 37 CFR 1.5140 in a design application." Because we was no explanation as to why the 132 affidavit was not filed before the final rejection as required under the cited MPEP, the affidavit will not be considered for failing to comply with the timeliness requirement.